

# LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Record of Consultation** 

**Niagara Power Project** 

**FERC No. 2216** 

Copyright © 2008 New York Power Authority

August 2008



# NIAGARA POWER PROJECT (FERC NO. 2216) LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN-RECORD OF CONSULTATION

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

5/24/07 Letter From NYPA Transmitting Annotated Outline To Consulting Entities

6/8/2007 Letter From BIA On Annotated Outline

6/11/07 Letter From FWS on Annotated Outline

6/26/07 Letter From Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper and NREC

1/31/08 Letter From NYPA Transmitting Draft Land Management Plan To Consulting Entities

2/5/08 Letter From FWS Commenting On Draft Land Management Plan

2/12/08 Letter From BIA Commenting On Draft Land Management Plan

3/3/08 Letter From City of Niagara Falls Commenting on Draft Land Management Plan

8/25/08 Letter From Tuscarora Nation Commenting on Draft Land Management Plan

**NYPA Response Comments Matrix** 

Albany Office 30 South Pearl Street Albany, NY 12207-3425 518 433.6700



May 24, 2007

Archimandrite Nicholas Holy Cross Orthodox Monas 3920 Pine Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14301

## Dear Consulting Party,

On March 15, 2007 the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued a new license for the continued operation of the Niagara Power Project. Although the current license does not expire until August 31, 2007, the Power Authority is initiating work on several license articles which require the development of project plans. Specifically, Article 405 of the new license requires the Power Authority to develop a Land Management Plan for the project. Article 405 requires the Power Authority to prepare the plan in consultation with the following parties: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Bureau of Indian Affairs; National Park Service; New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation; Tuscarora Nation; Niagara Relicensing Environmental Coalition; and adjacent landowners.

The Power Authority has prepared an annotated table of contents, which is the first step in the development of the Project Land Management Plan. The table of contents describes policies, standards, guidelines, and land use designations used to enhance safety and protect and manage environmental resources, public use, and aesthetics within the project boundary as agreed to in the Relicensing Agreement and required by the NYS Water Quality Certification.

The Power Authority is transmitting this document to you for your review because you are one of the parties specified in Article 405. Please provide your comments on the table of contents no later than June 25, 2007. Your comments should be sent to me at <u>Silliman.k@nypa.gov</u> or to the following address:

Mr. Keith Silliman Director of Niagara Relicensing New York Power Authority 30 South Pearl Street Albany, NY 12207-3425 Comments received and the Power Authority's response will be posted on the Niagara Power Project web site <a href="http://niagara.nypa.gov">http://niagara.nypa.gov</a>. The Power Authority will consider these comments as it prepares a draft of the Project Land Management Plan. You will be provided an opportunity to review and comment on the draft Land Management Plan before the Power Authority files it with the Commission (on or by September 1, 2008).

At this time, the Power Authority anticipates providing the draft Land Management Plan for your review in late-2007 or early-2008.

If you have any questions on the annotated table of contents or the process to develop the Land Management Plan for the Niagara Power Project, please contact Mr. Edward Alkiewicz at (914) 287-3247 or myself at (518) 433-6735.

Sincerely,

Keith Silliman

Director, Niagara Relicensing

Keith & Fellina

Enclosure

Archimandrite Nicholas Holy Cross Orthodox Monas 3920 Pine Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14301

Mr. Arthur Walters 1169 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Ms. Cindy Carr 1185 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. & Mrs. Dale Dibble 1401 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. David Busenlehner 1271 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Eugene McCollum Riverdale Cemetery Lewiston Road Lewiston, NY 14092

Mr. Fred Newlin Town of Lewiston 1375 Ridge Road Lewiston, NY 14092

Mr. & Mrs. George Hutcheson 1235 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. & Mrs. Gerald Leo 1337 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305 Mr. Armand Cerrone 20 Iroquois Inc. 4625 Witmer Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Dr. Bonnie Rose Niagara University 5795 Lewiston Road Niagara University, NY 14109

Hon. Clyde Burmaster Niagara County 59 Park Avenue Lockport, NY 14094

Mr. Daryl Gleaton 1175 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. David Fleck Wafer Inc. 454 Main Street Niagara Falls, NY 14301

Mr. Floyd Cady, Sr. 7 Ely Road Depew, NY 14043

Mr. George Briggs 5740 Garlow Road Lewiston, NY 14092

Mr. & Mrs. Gerald Bayley 1157 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Harold Good 1520 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Harold Wise 1311 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Dr. Irene Elia Lewiston Itc Elderly Corp Mpo Box 2432 Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Mr. James Brice 1199 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. & Mrs. James Switzer 60 Linconshire Drive Lockport, NY 14094

Mr. Jeremy Eagan 1331-33 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. John Stuart Occidential Chemical Corp PO Box 27570 Houston, TX 77227

Mr. James Linkowski 1215 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Dr. Lawerence Wolfgang Beth Isreal Cemetery 905 College Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14305 Mr. & Mrs. Howard Moore 1170 New Road Amherst, NY 14228

Mr. James Kardatzke US Dept of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs 545 Marriott Drive, Suite 700 Nashville, TN 37214

Mr. James Martino 2595 Parkview Drive Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. James Talarico Talarico Bros Bldg. Corp 8675 Lozina Drive Niagara Falls, NY 14304

Mr. John Schultz Upper Mt. Fire Co., Inc. 839 Moyer Road Lewiston, NY 14092

Mr. John Wolfgang 1578 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14304

Mr. Kevin Mendik National Parks Service 15 State Street, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Boston, MA 2109

Mr. Leonard Nowak 1151 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Ms. Lynda Schneekloth Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper 617 Main Street – M108 Buffalo, NY 14203

Mr. Mark Thomas NYS Office of Parks Recreation & Historic Preservation PO Box 1132 Niagara Falls, NY 14303

Mr. Michael Rozyczki 1307 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Moore Wallace North Ameri 545 Mainstream Drive Nashville, TN 37228

New York Central Line LLP 500 Water Street (J-910) Jacksonville, FL 32202

Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. 300 Erie Blvd. Syracuse, NY 13202

Mr. Ord Harris 1195 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Ms. Patti Heath 1185 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305 Mr. Mark Gaines 1167 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Michael Difranco 1555 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Michael Wygonik 6200 Grauer Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Neil Patterson, Jr. Tuscorara Nation Mount Hope Road Sanborn, NY 14132

New York Central Lines 500 Water Street (J-910) Jacksonville, FL 32202

Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. 300 Erie Blvd. West Syracuse, NY 13202

Mr. Patrick Gilliam 1419 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Phillips MJ 920 Union Road West Seneca, NY 14224

Mr. Richard Daigler 1191 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Robert Game Niagara Falls Water Board 5815 Buffalo Ave Niagara Falls, NY 14304

Fr. Robert Hora St. Josephs Roman Catholic Church 1413 Pine Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14301

Mr. Ronald Wells 1133 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Saint Gobain Norton 750 E Swedesford Road Valley Forge, PA 19482

Ms. Sharon Day 1351 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Ms. Shelley Heisner 1181 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Steve Patch US Dept. of Fish & Wildlife Service 3817 Luker Road Cortland, NY 13045 Mr. Richard Wallden E.I. du Point de Nemours and Company PO Box 1039 Wilmington, DE 19899

St. Michaels Cemetery 250 27<sup>th</sup> Street Niagara Falls, NY

Robin Becker Tax Department PO Box 15438 Wilmington, NY 19850

Mr. Russ Biss NYS Dept. of Env. Conservation 270 Michigan Avenue Buffalo, NY 14203

Mr. Sam Ferraro Niagara County IDA 6311 Inducon Corporate Sanborn, NY 14132

Ms. Sharon Keller 1209 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Ms. Stephanie Cowart Niagara Falls Housing 720 10<sup>th</sup> Street Niagara Falls, NY 14301

Mr. Steve Richards Town of Niagara 7105 Lockport Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Ms. Susan Richardson 1325 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

The Archangel Gabriel, LLC 3900 Packard Road Niagara Falls, NY 14303

Mr. Thomas DeSantis City of Niagara Falls 745 Main Street Niagara Falls, NY 14302

Tier Environmental Services 33 S. Hyde Park Blvd. Niagara Falls, NY 14303

Mr. Tom Garlock Niagara Frontier Bridge 65 Court St. Buffalo, NY 14202

Mr. William Colby 1285 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

NY Dept of Transportation 125 Main Street – Donovan Bldg. Buffalo, NY 14203 Texas Brine Corporation 4800 San Felipe Houston, TX 77056

Mr. Theodore Zawada 1363 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Thomas Walton 1319 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Timothy Young 5035 Isherwood Drive Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Walter Conrad 1189 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. William Senik 1523 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Tom Garlock Niagara Falls Bridge Commission PO Box 1031 Niagara Falls, NY 14302

# NIAGARA POWER PROJECT FERC No.2216

LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN
DRAFT ANNOTATED TABLE OF CONTENTS

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0		Introduction	3
	1.1	Project Location	3
	1.2	License Article	3
	1.3	FERC Policies on Use of Project Lands and Waters	3
2.0		Overview	4
	2.1	Goals and Objectives of the Plan.	4
	2.2	Plan Development	4
	2.3	Consultation	4
3.0		Description of Project Lands and Resources	5
	3.1	Current Land Uses	5
	3.2	Recreational, Aesthetic, Historic Properties, and Natural Resources	5
4.0		Entities Responsible for Management Within Project BoundarY	6
	4.1	Project Lands Managed by New York Power Authority	6
	4.2	Project Lands Managed by Other Entities	6
	4.2.1	NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation	6
	4.2.2	National Grid	6
	4.2.3	NYS Department of Transportation	6
	4.2.4	Local Transportation Departments	6
	4.2.5	Niagara University	7
	4.2.6	Other Entities	7
5.0		Management of Project Lands	8
	5.1	Overall Guidelines, Policies, and Standards	8
	5.2	Specific NYPA Management Actions	8
	5.2.1	Road Maintenance	8

	5.2.2	Vegetative Management
	5.2.3	Non-native Species Control
	5.2.4	Nuisance Wildlife
	5.2.5	Future Use of Project Lands9
	5.2.6	Aesthetic Enhancements
	5.3	Management Actions of Other Entities9
	5.4	Permitting Process
	5.5	Implementation Plan and Schedule of Management Actions9
	5.6	Plan Compliance, Updating and Reporting Program
6.0		Relationship of the Land Management Plan to Other Plans
	6.1	Other NYPA Plans 11
	6.1.1	Recreation Plan
	6.1.2	Historic Properties Management Plan
	6.1.3	Customary Use Plan
	6.2	Consistency with Other Plans
7.0		Literature Cited

Appendix A: NYPA Management of Non-Project Lands within Niagara and Erie Counties Appendix B: Consultation Record

# 1.0 INTRODUCTION

# 1.1 Project Location

This section will include a brief discussion of the project location and surrounding area.

## 1.2 License Article

This section will detail the license article requiring the land management plan.

# 1.3 FERC Policies on Use of Project Lands and Waters

This section will incorporate the information that Van Ness Feldman has presented in their 2-15-07 memo and will also include a discussion of FERC standard land use articles as they apply to the project.

## 2.0 OVERVIEW

#### 2.1 Goals and Objectives of the Plan

This section will detail the goals and objectives that relate to all aspects of managing project lands. Goals and objectives will be based on existing practices and management needs and the results of consultation between NYPA and stakeholders. The goals and objectives will provide a basis for managing the project lands that is consistent with the FERC license and resource agency requirements while meeting the needs of the project. Primary goals of the plan will be to address project security, use of project lands, project maintenance, and public safety at the project. Objectives necessary to accomplish these goals may include developing Integrated Vegetation Management (IVM) practices, developing an invasive species management protocol, and developing standard road maintenance practices.

#### 2.2 Plan Development

This section will discuss the steps that were taken to develop the Land Management Plan. This section will also discuss the general organization of the document.

#### 2.3 Consultation

This section will document the consultation that occurred during the development of the Land Management Plan as per license Article 405. The article requires that in preparing the land management plan the licensee shall consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Resources, Tuscarora Nation, Niagara Relicensing Environmental Coalition, and adjacent landowners. The article also requires that the licensee include with the plan documentation of consultation, copies of comments and recommendations on the completed plan after it has prepared and provided to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; National Park Service; New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; New York State Office of Park, Recreation and Historic Preservation; Tuscarora Nation; and adjacent landowners, and specific descriptions of how their comments are accommodated by the plan. The licensee shall allow a minimum of 30 days for the entities to comment before filing the plan with the Commission. If the licensee does not adopt a recommendation, the filing shall include the licensees' reasons, based on project-specific information.

## 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT LANDS AND RESOURCES

#### 3.1 Current Land Uses

This section will discuss in detail the current land uses within the project boundary. This information will include up-to-date GIS maps showing the current land uses. A description of each of the land uses will also be included. Current land use categories may include public recreation lands, project operations, agricultural lands, mowed areas, paved surfaces, and natural areas. A table will also be included to show the current acreages for each land use category. A discussion of how this information was determined will also be included.

## 3.2 Recreational, Aesthetic, Historic Properties, and Natural Resources

A brief description of the current recreation resources located within the project boundary will be included in this section. A summary the aesthetic features of the project will be included in this section. Reference will be made to the development of the HPMP as per Article 406. This section will also include information on the natural resources found within the project boundary.

# 4.0 ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR MANAGEMENT WITHIN PROJECT BOUNDARY

# 4.1 Project Lands Managed by New York Power Authority

A detailed description of lands within the project boundary that are currently managed by the New York Power Authority will be discussed in this section. The discussion will include current uses, location, and the acreages of those lands by land use category.

#### 4.2 Project Lands Managed by Other Entities

#### 4.2.1 NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation

A description of those lands managed by the NYSOPRHP, including acreages, current land use, for those properties located within the project boundary. Project lands managed by NYSOPRHP include Reservoir State Park, Discovery Center and the Great Gorge Railroad ROW.

#### 4.2.2 National Grid

A description of those lands managed by National Grid, including acreages, current land use for those properties located within the project boundary. This section may require consultation with National Grid.

#### 4.2.3 NYS Department of Transportation

A description of those roads maintained by the NYSDOT including road names will be included. This section may require consultation with the NYSDOT.

#### 4.2.4 Local Transportation Departments

# 4.2.4.1 City of Niagara Falls

A description of those roads maintained by the City of Niagara Falls including road names will be included. This section may require consultation with the City of Niagara Falls.

#### 4.2.4.2 Town of Lewiston

A description of those roads maintained by the Town of Lewiston including road names will be included. This section may require consultation with the Town of Lewiston.

# 4.2.5 Niagara University

A description of those roads maintained by the Niagara University including road names will be included. This section may require consultation with the Niagara University.

## 4.2.6 Other Entities

A description of those lands managed by local farmers or other smaller entities.

## 5.0 MANAGEMENT OF PROJECT LANDS

#### 5.1 Overall Guidelines, Policies, and Standards

This section will provide an overview of the Land Management Plan. Best Management Practices (BMPs) and guidelines that will assist in the management of project lands will be discussed. Policies will be established to achieve the goals and objectives that were developed in Section 2.1 of the plan. Standards that will be used on lands within the project boundary will also be recorded.

# 5.2 Specific NYPA Management Actions

#### 5.2.1 Road Maintenance

This section will detail specific NYPA actions that will be used while managing their roads. This may include changes to improve the current management of the roads. Other topics of discussion may include changes in the application of road salt, type, and/or locations of use. This section will be written in consultation with the NYPA.

#### 5.2.2 Vegetative Management

This section will detail specific IVM practices that will be used by the NYPA in the future. Practices that may be included in this section may be herbicide use and locations, cutting schedules, and mowing schedules.

- 5.2.2.1 Mowing
- 5.2.2.2 Herbicides
- 5.2.2.3 Hand and Mechanical Removal
- 5.2.2.4 Landscaping and Planting
- 5.2.2.5 Agricultural Activities
- 5.2.3 Non-native Species Control

This section will address management actions to control the spread of vegetative invasive species. This section will also look specifically at the crown vetch that covers the reservoir dike. This section will be written in consultation with the NYPA.

#### 5.2.4 Nuisance Wildlife

This section will address potential ways to improve current methods for managing nuisance wildlife species such as the ground hog. This section will be written in consultation with the NYPA.

#### 5.2.5 Future Use of Project Lands

This section will discuss how project lands are intended to be used in the future. This will include a description of those permitted land uses that will remain the same and those that may change in the near future. This section will be written in consultation with the NYPA.

#### 5.2.6 Aesthetic Enhancements

This section will discuss regarding the maintenance of those aesthetic enhancements that currently exist within the project boundary, such as existing vegetative screening. This section will be written in consultation with the NYPA.

#### 5.3 Management Actions of Other Entities

This section will discuss the land management practices of OPRHP, National Grid, NYSDOT, NU and local transportation departments that manage lands within the project boundary. This section will also lay out a reporting program for those entities to report changes to their management practices the NYPA. If NYPA determines that these practices are in line with the LMP, an addendum will be written and submitted to FERC describing the change.

#### 5.4 Permitting Process

This section will describe the current process for obtaining a permit from the NYPA, what uses require permits, and what standards/requirements apply. A discussion of existing permits, their locations and the nature of the permits will be briefly discussed. The permitting system will remain the same for future permits.

## 5.5 Implementation Plan and Schedule of Management Actions

An implementation plan will be developed to describe how the specific management actions outlined in this plan will be implemented. This will include steps to be taken to complete the management actions and will identify the department or entity that is responsible for completing these

steps. This section will also detail scheduled activities associated with completing the actions outlined in the Land Management Plan, such as a schedules for IVM.

# 5.6 Plan Compliance, Updating and Reporting Program

This section will detail those items that need to be reported to FERC or other resource agencies. It will also discuss a reporting program that will include how often plan compliance will be reported to FERC and measures of how compliance with the land management plan will be determined. This section will detail the steps necessary for updating the land management plan as uses for project lands change or as new management issues arise. This will outline a proposal on future consultation that would be required when updating the plan.

# 6.0 RELATIONSHIP OF THE LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN TO OTHER PLANS

#### 6.1 Other NYPA Plans

#### 6.1.1 Recreation Plan

This section will briefly discuss the Recreation Plan and when the plan was written. The discussion will highlight those aspects of the plan that compliment the Land Management Plan. This section will also look at those aspects of the plan, if any, that contrast to the Land Management Plan and the reason for the difference.

#### 6.1.2 Historic Properties Management Plan

This section will briefly discuss the Historic Properties Management Plan and when the plan was written (if it is completed at the time of drafting the Land Management Plan). The discussion will highlight those aspects of the plan that compliment the Land Management Plan. This section will also look at those aspects of the plan, if any, that contrast to the Land Management Plan and the reason for the difference.

#### 6.1.3 Customary Use Plan

This section will discuss that the Customary Use Plan is currently being developed with the Tuscarora Nation. The Customary Use Plan and the Land Management Plan will be consistent.

## 6.2 Consistency with Other Plans

This section will offer a brief discussion of the project's consistency with the New York State Coastal Management Program, applicable comprehensive plans, and applicable local waterfront revitalization plans.

# 7.0 LITERATURE CITED



# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS Eastern Regional Office 545 Marriott Drive, Suite 700

Nashville, TN 37214

Trust Services Natural Resources

JUN -8 2007

Mr. Keith Silliman Director of Niagara Relicensing New York Power Authority 30 South Pearl Street Albany, NY 12207-3425

Dear Mr. Silliman:

The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the annotated table of contents of the Land Management and Recreation Plans for the Niagara Power Project (NPP). The BIA has no comments regarding the Recreation Plan. In regard to the Land Management Plan, the BIA has the following comments:

- 1. Paragraph 5.2.1 Road Maintenance. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the application of road salts and similar activities. The application of road salts has a significant environmental impact on lands receiving the run-off from roads to which salts have been applied. The Tuscarora Nation has several miles of territory along and across which roads maintained in conjunction with NPP operations run.
- 2. Paragraph 5.2.2 Vegetative Management. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the selection of plants used for erosion control on the NPP dike. The Tuscarora Nation has several miles of lands that abut the NPP dike.
- 3. Paragraph 5.2.3 Non-Native Species Control. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the selection of herbicides used for control of non-native invasive plants on the NPP dike. The Tuscarora Nation has several miles of lands that abut the NPP dike.
- 4. Paragraph 5.2.5 Future Use of Project Lands. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the future use of all project lands that are contiguous with Tuscarora lands. If the Tuscarora

Nation elects to place the lands they received as part of their settlement agreement into Federal trust, the BIA will also required consultation.

5. Paragraph 5.2.6 Aesthetic Enhancements. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding any enhancements that are visible from their lands.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Dr. James Kardatzke, BIA, at (615) 564-6830.

Sincerely,

**ACTING** Director, Eastern Region

CC: Tuscarora Nation



# United States Department of the Interior



# FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

3817 Luker Road Cortland, NY 13045

June 11, 2007

Keith Silliman, Director Niagara Relicensing New York Power Authority 30 South Pearl St. Albany, NY 12207-3425

RE: Niagara Power Project (FERC #2216)

Land Management Plan

Dear Mr. Silliman:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has reviewed the May 24, 2007, Annotated Table of Contents for the Land Management Plan for the Niagara Power Project. The Table of Contents is adequate to cover the issues of concern. We appreciate the opportunity to review the document. If you have any questions or desire additional information, please contact Steve Patch at 607-753-9334.

Sincerely,

David A. Stilwell Field Supervisor



#### 2007 Board of Directors

Richard Butz Max Coykendall Thomas DeSantis Paul Dyster Richard Roach Lynda Schneekloth **Gregory Stevens** Megan Toohey James Tompkins

Executive Director Julie Barrett O'Neill

2006 Support from RIVERKEEPER Members and Donors

American Rivers

U.S. EPA Great Lakes National Program Office

U.S. Fish and Wildlife

N.Y.S. Council

Margaret L. Wendt Foundation

Foundation on the Arts



Mr. Keith Silliman Director of Niagara Relicensing New York Power Authority 30 South Pearl Street Albany, NY 12207-3425

June 26, 2007

Dear Mr. Silliman.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the New York Power Authority Project Land Management Plan and Recreation Plan Tables of Content prepared in support of the Authority's license to continue operation of the Niagara Power Project.

The following comments have been prepared on behalf of Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper and the Niagara Relicensing Environmental Coalition members.

# **Land Management Plan**

- Section 2.1 regarding the Goals and Objectives of the Plan should be expanded to specifically include the following
  - 1. Accessibility
  - 2. Recreational opportunities
  - 3. Energy Conservation
  - 4. Water Conservation
  - 5. Habitat preservation or restoration
  - 6. Contamination remediation as required
  - 7. Reconnection of Niagara Escarpment over fishing access road
  - 8. Cultural resources (native people history, Portage, history of power/Schoellkopf)
- Section 5.2.2. Vegetative Management should specifically reference "Best Management Practices" and the strategy should actively restore native plant species.
- The plan should specifically address water management both in terms of water conservation as well as stormwater runoff/treatment.
- This plan fails to specifically address the use and management of the Robert Moses Parkway. As the Parkway's current configuration has a substantial impact on Gorge ecological and recreational resources, this matter must be resolved.

#### **Recreation Plan**

- Section 2.0's discussion of regional recreational opportunities should also specifically address recreational trends, strengths, needs and threats.
- ♦ Section 3.0 Existing Recreation Facilities should be expanded to include the Schoellkopf Ruins, bikeways, hiking trails.
- Section 4.0 should respond directly to stakeholder comments on deficiencies in the recreational study developed in the relicensing process. As mentioned, parking lot use surveys are not indicative of recreational facility use. Further investigation may be warranted.
- ♦ Section 5.0 Proposed Recreation Facilities should include a discussion of the planned Power Trail and Industrial History

Finally, please discuss where the plan will address the logistic difficulties created by location of the fishing access road parking at the top of the gorge.

We are excited about the active involvement of Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper and the Niagara Relicensing Environmental Coalition (NREC) signatories in the execution of the Relicensing Agreement.

To ensure smooth communication with all involved parties, please be sure to direct any further correspondence to Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper directly to Julie Barrett O'Neill at <a href="mailto:jboneill@bnriverkeeper.org">jboneill@bnriverkeeper.org</a>. Each NREC member should also receive direct notification to ensure full opportunity to comment on license activities. Please contact me if you require contact information for the NREC representatives.

Sincerely,

Julie Barrett O'Neill Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper White Plains Office 123 Main Street White Plains, NY 10601 914. 287. 3247 914. 287. 3294(Fax)



Edward Alkiewicz Mgr, Environmental Studies & Remediation

January 31, 2008

Re: Niagara Power Project, FERC No. 2216
Article 405, Draft Land Management Plan

Dear Consulting Party:

On March 15, 2007, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission issued a new license for the continued operation of the Niagara Power Project. Article 405 of the new license requires the Power Authority to develop a Land Management Plan for the project. The article also requires the Power Authority to prepare the plan in consultation with the following parties: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; Bureau of Indian Affairs; National Park Service; New York State Department of Environmental Conservation; New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation; Tuscarora Nation; Niagara Relicensing Environmental Coalition; and adjacent landowners.

Because you are one of the parties specified in Article 405 of the project license, the Power Authority is hereby notifying you that the draft Land Management Plan is now available for your review. The draft Land Management Plan is posted on the Niagara Power Project web site at <a href="http://niagara.nypa.gov/documents.htm">http://niagara.nypa.gov/documents.htm</a>. If you prefer, a hard copy of the draft plan will be made available upon request.

The Power Authority is providing 30 days for the above listed entities to comment on the draft plan before it is filed with the Commission on or before September 1, 2008. The final plan that will be filed with the Commission will include documentation of consultation and copies of comments and recommendations. If the Power Authority does not adopt a recommendation, the Power Authority's filing will include the reasons, based on project-specific information. Please provide your comments on the draft plan no later than March 3, 2008. Your comments should be sent to me at <a href="mailto:Edward\_Alkiewicz@nypa.gov">Edward\_Alkiewicz@nypa.gov</a> or to the following address:

Mr. Edward Alkiewicz Manager, Environmental Studies & Remediation New York Power Authority 123 Main Street White Plains NY 10601

If you have any questions on the draft plan or the process to develop the Land Management Plan for the Niagara Power Project, please contact me at (914) 287-3247.

Sincerely,

Edward Alkiewicz

Mgr, Environmental Studies & Remediation

Niagara Falls Memorial Park	Nanula R. Anthony	St. Georges Syrian
5871 Military Road	8940 Main Street	1073 Saunders Sett Road
Lewiston, NY 14092	Clarence, NY 14031	Niagara Falls, NY 14304
Armand Cerrone	Mr. Floyd Cady, Sr.	Hon. Clyde Burmaster
4625 Witmer Road	7 Ely Road	59 Park Avenue
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Depew, NY 14043	Lockport, NY 14094
Mr. Richard Daigler	Mr. & Mrs. Dale Dibble	Mr. Richard Wallden
1191 Saunders Sett Road	1401 Saunders Sett Road	P.O. Box 1039
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Wilmington, DE 19899
Mr. Jeremy Eagan	The Archangel Gabriel, LLC	Mr. Daryl Gleaton
1331-33 Saunders Sett Road	3900 Packard Road	1175 Saunders Sett Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14303	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Mr. Harold Good	Ms. Cindy Carr	Ms. Shelley Heisner
1520 Saunders Sett Road	1185 Saunders Sett Road	1181 Saunders Sett Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14304	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Archimandrite Nicholas	Mr. & Mrs. George Hutcheson	Ms. Sharon Keller
3920 Pine Avenue	1235 Saunders Sett Road	1209 Saunders Sett Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14301	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Mr. James Martino	Mr. Sam Ferraro	Ms. Stephanie Cowart
2595 Parkview Drive	6311 Inducon Corporate Drive	720 10th Street
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Sanborn, NY 14132	Niagara Falls, NY 14301
Dr. Bonnie Rose	Ms. Susan Richardson	Robin Becker
5795 Lewiston Road	1325 Saunders Sett Road	P.O. Box 15438
Niagara University, NY 14109	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Wilmington, DE 19850
Saint Gobain Norton	Fr. Robert Hora	St. Michael's Cemetery
750 E Swedesford Road	1413 Pine Avenue	250- 27th Street
Valley Forge, PA 19482	Niagara Falls, NY 14301	Niagara Falls, NY 0
Mr. James Talarico	Texas Brine Corp.	Mr. David Fleck
8675 Lozina Drive	4800 San Felipe	454 Main Street
Niagara Falls, NY 14304	Houston, TX 77056	Niagara Falls, NY 14301

Mr. Arthur Walters	Mr. Thomas Walton	Mr. Michael Wygonik
1169 Saunders Sett Road	1319 Saunders Sett Road	6200 Grauer Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
5035 Isherwood Drive Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Mr. Theodore Zawada 1363 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Mr. & Mrs. Gerald Bayley 1157 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Mr. David Busenlehner	Mr. Fred Newlin	Mr. Russ Biss
1271 Saunders Sett Road	1375 Ridge Road	270 Michigan Avenue
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Lewiston, NY 14092	Buffalo, NY 14203
Mr. Kevin Mendik	Ms. Lynda Schneekloth	Tier Environmental Services
15 State Street, 10 Floor	617 Main St – M108	33 S. Hyde Park Boulevard
Boston, MA 2109	Buffalo, NY 14203	Niagara Falls, NY 14303
Mr. Tom Garlock	Mr. George Briggs	Mr. Thomas DeSantis
P.O. Box 1031	5740 Garlow Road	745 Main Street
Niagara Falls, NY 14302	Lewiston, NY 14092	Niagara Falls, NY 14302
Mr. William Colby	Mr. Walter Conrad	Ms. Sharon Day
1285 Saunders Sett Road	1189 Saunders Sett Road	1351 Saunders Sett Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Mr. Michael DiFranco	Mr. Mark Gaines	Mr. Patrick Gilliam
1555 Saunders Sett Road	1167 Saunders Sett Road	1419 Saunders Sett Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14304	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Mr. Ord Harris	Ms. Patti Heath	Mr. & Mrs. Gerald Leo
1195 Saunders Sett Road	1185 Saunders Sett Road	1337 Saunders Sett Road
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Niagara Falls, NY 14305
Mr. James Linkowski	Mr. & Mrs. Howard Moore	Moore Wallace North America
1215 Saunders Sett Road	1170 New Road	545 Mainstream Drive
Niagara Falls, NY 14305	Amherst, NY 14228	Nashville, TN 37228
New York Central Lines, LLP	Mr. Robert Game	Mr. Tom Garlock
500 Water Street (J-910)	5815 Buffalo Avenue	65 Court Street
Jacksonville, FL 32202	Niagara Falls, NY 14304	Buffalo, NY 14202

Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. 300 Erie Boulevard West Syracuse, NY 13202 Mr. Leonard Nowak 1151 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305 NY Department of Transportation 125 Main Street Donovan Bldg Buffalo, NY 14203

Mr. John Stuart P.O. Box 27570 Houston, TX 77227 Mr. Eugene McCollum Lewiston Road Lewiston, NY 14092 Mr. Michael Rozyczki 1307 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. William Senik 1523 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14304 Mr. & Mrs. James Switzer 60 Lincolnshire Drive Lockport, NY 14094 Mr. Neil Patterson, Jr. Mount Hope Road Sanborn, NY 14132

Mr. John Schultz 839 Moyer Road Lewiston, NY 14092 Mr. Ronald Wells 1133 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305 Mr. Harold Wise 1311 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. John Wolfgang 1578 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14304 New York Central Lines 500 Water Street (J-910) Jacksonville, FL 32202 Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. 300 Erie Boulevard Syracuse, NY 13202

Phillips MJ 920 Union Road West Seneca, NY 14224 Dr. Irene Elia P.O. Box 2432 Niagara Falls, NY 14302 Dr. Lawerence Wolfgang 905 College Avenue Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. James Brice 1199 Saunders Sett Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305

Mr. Steve Richards 7105 Lockport Road Niagara Falls, NY 14305 Mr. Mark Thomas P.O. Box 1132 Niagara Falls, NY 14303

Mr. James Kardatzke 545 Marriott Drive, Suite 700 Nashville, TN 37214 Mr. Steve Patch 3817 Luker Road Cortland, NY 13045



# United States Department of the Interior



# FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

3817 Luker Road Cortland, NY 13045

February 5, 2008

Mr. Edward Alkiewicz, Manager Environmental Studies and Remediation New York Power Authority 123 Main Street White Plains, NY 10601

RE: Niagara Power Project (FERC #2216)

Article 405, Draft Land Management Plan

Dear Mr. Alkiewicz:

cc:

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has reviewed the January 31, 2008, *Draft Land Management Plan* (DLMP) produced by the New York Power Authority in compliance with License Article 405 for the Niagara Power Project. The DLMP adequately addresses License Article 405. The Service concurs with this plan. We appreciate the opportunity to review the DLMP. If you have any questions or desire additional information, please contact Steve Patch at 607-753-9334.

Sincerely,

David A. Stilwell Field Supervisor

NYSDEC, Albany, NY (M. Woythal, W. Little) NPS, Boston, MA (D. Hay)

BIA, Nashville, TN (J. Kardatzke)



# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS
Eastern Regional Office
545 Marriott Drive, Suite 700
Nashville, TN 37214

FEB 1 2 2008

Trust Services
Natural Resources

Mr. Edward Alkiewicz Manager, Environmental Studies and Remediation New York Power Authority 123 Main Street White Plains, NY 10601

Dear Mr. Alkiewicz:

The Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the Draft Land Management and Draft Recreation Plans for the Niagara Power Project (FERC No. 2216). The comments, by plan, are as follows:

# **Draft Land Management Plan (LMP)**

- 1. Since the Historic Properties Management Plan and the Customary Use Plan for this Project have yet to be provided, the BIA cannot comment on whether the land management policies and activities are consistent with these plans.
- 2. The draft LMP does not address new recreational enhancements such as the Upper Mountain Parking/Fishing Access that are part of the Settlement Agreement and the License. In order to preclude future amendments to the LMP to incorporate such improvements, the BIA suggests that these enhancements be described and addressed in the current document.
- 3. The BIA notes that a strip of Tuscarora Nation restricted-fee lands along the eastern edge of the Lewiston Reservoir is included within the project boundary. The usage of this land is for transportation and managed by the New York State Department of Transportation. The BIA recommends that all land management operations conducted in and along Project lands that abut and on Tuscarora Nation lands be coordinated with the appropriate Tuscarora Nation representative prior to being implemented. At a minimum all chemical treatments, be they for vegetation management or road maintenance, should be subject to Tuscarora Nation concurrence.
- 4. On Page 5-5, the LMP specifies the active ingredient glyphosphate as the specific type of herbicides for use. While this is currently a good choice, the BIA suggests that the general mode of action be used in the LMP rather than a specific active ingredient, much like the LMP does on Page 5-6 when referring to the Lewiston Reservoir dike and right-of-way transmission

corridors. This will preclude the need to amend the LMP when, in the future, the New York Power Authority may wish to change to a different class of product.

# **Draft Recreation Plan (RP)**

The BIA has no comments on the RP except to note that at the Robert Moses Fishing Pier, the LMP refers to 3 American Disabilities Act (ADA) parking spaces and the RP refers to 4 ADA parking spaces.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Dr. James Kardatzke, BlA, at (615) 564-6830.

Sincerely,

ACTING Director, Eastern Region

Scott Menerly

CC: Tuscarora Nation

# City of Niagara Falls, New York

P.O. Box 69, Niagara Falls, NY 14302-0069

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Office of Planning & Environmental Services

March 3, 2008

Mr. Edward Alkiewicz Manager, Environmental Studies & Remediation New York Power Authority 123 Main Street White Plains, NY 10601

Re: Niagara Power Project, FERC No. 2216 Article 405, Draft Land Management Plan.

Dear Mr. Alkiewicz:

The City of Niagara Falls Office of Planning and Environmental Services has reviewed the New York Power Authority's Draft Land Management Plan, established in accordance with the Niagara Power Project Relicensing Agreement FERC No. 2216. The City's opinions and suggestions on the proposed policies and practices are contained herein and have been submitted by the deadline of March 3, 2008.

As a whole, the proposed Land Management Plan contains very little in terms of substantive changes from current practices, and states so within the plan itself. The Office of Environmental Services is disappointed that NYPA has not taken advantage of this opportunity to re-evaluate its current management activities and consider altering and updating the policies of the last several years.

Though little has changed regarding the physical nature of project boundaries, the dynamic of the local environment, recreation and the role of these facets in the economy of surrounding municipalities, including the City of Niagara Falls has changed dramatically. As the industrial base for the local economy has deteriorated, local communities are attempting to capitalize on the area's natural resources and human history to facilitate a turn towards an economy based on heritage tourism. A number of land segments within the Project Boundary are crucial to the area's attempt to capitalize on its own natural resources, with environmental preservation and recreational opportunity playing vital roles. The draft plan says little addressing such issues on highly valued lands such as the Upper Niagara River waterfront and the Lower Niagara River Gorge, possibly two of the most important aspects to City's economy.

Of particular concern is the plan's decision not to make any attempt to control non-native species on any lands within the boundary. Asserting that "infiltration of nonnative vegetative species is inherent to human disturbance," the draft recognizes that significant amounts of exotic plant species do indeed exist within the boundary, yet sees no need for actively controlling such species. While this may not be of extreme importance on lands within the boundary currently used for industrial purposes or on highly developed lands surrounding the plant itself, the notion that fighting invasive species along waterways such as Gill Creek, the Upper Niagara River, Fish Creek, and of most importance, both segments of the Niagara Gorge that fall under the management plan is futile and thus unnecessary is fundamentally flawed and environmentally unsound. The threats posed to the ecological health of local environments, particularly those of aquatic nature, are well documented. The suggestion that a globally-recognized environmental asset such as the Niagara Gorge should be left untended by the agency that takes advantage of it for power generation and sale and is charged with its management is untenable. The City finds this approach or lack thereof neglectful and unacceptable. It is imperative we safeguard the natural integrity of the Niagara Gorge and preserve its status as a unique ecological site.

The Office of Environmental Services (OES) suggests a thorough exploration into the current situation regarding invasive species within project boundaries. This process would include population surveys, damage evaluation, and a prescription of remedial actions leading to implementation of these actions. Regardless of the 'inevitability' of invasive species infiltration or the extent of that infiltration, simply ignoring the issue and not even investigating the conditions properly are irresponsible. More generally, OES advocates for a more comprehensive plan for the gorge lands in particular that emphasizes ecological preservation and restoration. Active management is implicit in such an effort where human perturbations of the environment are common. The unique nature of the gorge and its fragility demand such efforts.

Also of concern to the OES is the lack of an up to date survey of the conditions, such as biological health and ecological role fulfillment, of Fish and Gill Creeks. Both waterways were enormously disturbed by the construction of the Lewiston Reservoir. It is the responsibility of NYPA to ensure that both waterways have been acceptably reconfigured in a manner that mitigates environmental repercussions in vital ecological processes such as fish and marine species spawning. Avian habitat and nesting areas are also important to consider regarding the impact of stream alteration. It is important to note that it is not only important to observe and maintain stable conditions concerning these streams from year to year, but to restore the ecological role of these bodies of water to their conditions before the construction of the Lewiston Reservoir if it is found through research that it has indeed been altered and inadequately remedied.

The Niagara Falls OES would also suggest that if NYPA is unwilling to take a proactive role in managing crucial lands such as the Upper Niagara River bank and the Niagara Gorge, they should consider a cooperative measure with other state agencies to reach an agreement similar to that governing the Reservoir State Park's management, and relinquish management duties to another agency such as the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation. This would be an important first step toward the full and proper management of these lands in a responsible manner.

Though the implementation of aesthetic improvements is mentioned in the draft and discussed in another document, the OES is taking this opportunity to recommend aesthetic improvements in areas away from the power plants and facilities themselves. Of the potential enhancements, the most visible and that with the biggest impact may be planting of additional native plants, including trees, shrubs, flowering plants, etc. on property along the Robert Moses Parkway, currently under the joint management of NYPA and the NYS Department of Transportation to serve as a buffer to unsightly adjacent industrial properties who are NYPA customers. A means of obstructing residents' and tourists' view of the industrial corridor should be thoroughly explored. OES would also suggest further enhancement of areas such as the Water Intakes site to create a more welcoming, park-like atmosphere. Suggestions would include ornamental plantings there as well as interpretive signage and exhibits concerned with the relevance of the structures.

A final comment from the OES is that NYPA cooperate with the City of Niagara Falls in the formulation of a plan to better utilize lands that overlay the water conduits from the river to the Lewiston Reservoir. The City is exploring the feasibility of establishing a multiple-use recreational pathway from the river to the reservoir along this open greenspace. Cooperation among the City of Niagara Falls, NYPA, as well as the Town of Niagara and the Town of Lewiston will be needed to establish such a path. This yet-to-be-proposed trail would augment current recreational opportunities for hikers, joggers, bicyclists, etc. through the local community and should be seriously considered.

The City of Niagara Falls OES is discouraged by the proposed continuation of status quo management of project lands. We feel NYPA has a responsibility to the local community to manage the lands under its supervision in the most environmentally responsible, proactive, and comprehensive approach possible. In short, this draft fails to show how NYPA will meet that standard. We hope NYPA will reconsider its current plan and modify it in a manner that better serves the local people and protects the surrounding environment, helping the City of Niagara Falls as well as surrounding municipalities make its transition to a new economy based on recreation, environmental resources, and tourism in the coming years.

Do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at 716-286-4462, or via e-mail at nusbaum@nfez.org.

Sincerely,

Alan B. Nusbaum Environmental Planner/GIS Coordinator

#### MEMORANDUM

(transmitted via email)

TO: Edward Alkiewicz, New York Power Authority

CC: Nancy Herter, OPRHP

Tonawanda Seneca Nation Seneca Nation of Indians

FROM: Neil Patterson Jr., Tuscarora Relicensing Director

RE: Comments on CUP, LMP, RMP, Phase 1A Addendum

DATE: August 25, 2008

Below are comments on three of NYPA's draft management plans and the Phase 1A Addendum. Our comments on the Customary Use Plan, the Land Management Plan, and the Recreation Management Plan are mostly wording changes and clarifications that could be resolved before the documents are submitted to FERC. Comments on the Phase 1A Addendum reflect significant conceptual differences and large omissions of information critical to a thorough Phase 1A inventory of cultural resources flooded by the Lewiston Reservoir. These concerns may require the collection of additional information which could be contained in a final or interim 1A Addendum report. As always, we are committed to working with NYPA, the OPRHP and others to resolve these issues and we appreciate the chance to comment on these plans in advance of their submission to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

#### **Comments on the Customary Use Plan**

- 1. Section 1.0, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, first sentence. Change "members" to "citizens."
- 2. Section 1.0, 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence of second paragraph should begin with "Because" rather than "As" because (not as) it is more precise.
- 3. Section 2.0, Change 1<sup>st</sup> sentence to "The Tuscarora Nation and the United States of America have had a treaty based relationship for over three centuries old."
- 4. Section 4.0, 3<sup>rd</sup> sentence, 1st paragraph should read "Prior authorization shall be obtained two (2) weeks . . . ."
- 5. Section 4.0, 1<sup>st</sup> sentence, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph should read: "Prior authorization must be obtained from, and pre-notification provided to the following Power Authority Offices. The "please contact" language in the original makes it sound like this document is addressed to the Nation, which it is not.
- 6. Section 4.0 addresses who at the Power Authority is authorized to receive notification and provide authorization for certain CUP activities but not who the Power Authority is authorized to receive notice or entertain requests for authorization <u>from</u>. There should be language inserted identifying who is authorized to give notice and/or request authorization.

- 7. Section 5.0 should read "All Activities To Conform With Applicable Federal and State Law." "Applicable" is used in similar contexts in other relicensing documents to make clear that Indian Nations are not agreeing to any restrictions to which its members are not otherwise subject and should likewise be inserted here. "Requirements" is way too vague a term. "Law" should be substituted. These changes also need to be made elsewhere in the document, including the Table of Contents.
- 8. Section 5.0 currently references "Local" law. We need clarification on the extent to which "Local" (as opposed to State or Federal) law applies to Lands (or interests in land) within the Project Boundary. CUP activities should not be subject to local restrictions that do not apply to Project Lands and Project Operations in general.
- 9. Section 5.0 A., 1<sup>st</sup> sentence, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph: The phrase "prohibited on lands within the FERC Project boundary" is still misleading (or at least confusing) in that it could be read as referring to the easement land (since the easement itself is "within the FERC Project Boundary). It should be changed to something like "prohibited on NYPA-owned fee lands within the FERC Project boundary")
- 10. Section 5.0, 3d & 4<sup>th</sup> sentence: same comment as in #7, reference to "local" law.
- 11. Section 5.0, 4<sup>th</sup> sentence. Phrase "at the Project" has the same problems as described in #9, above.
- 12. There appears to be a numbering error on p. 4 (after Section 5.0) in that the next section is also labeled 5.0. Section 6.0 should be Section 7.0 (same in Table of Contents)
- 13. Title to what should be Section 6.0 should read "ALL ACTIVITIES TO CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL AND STATE LAW" (see comment #7, above)
- 14. Last bullet in what should be Section 6.0 should read "Any applicable State requirements . . . "

#### **Comments on New Section 4.2.3 of Land Management Plan:**

- 1. Sentence 4 should read: "One of the roads NYSDOT manages within the Project boundary is a section of Garlow Rd., located on 9.9 acres of Tuscarora Nation land to the East of the Lewiston Reservoir. The Power Authority holds an easement interest in that 9.9 acre parcel for the purpose of constructing and maintaining the road."
- 2. Note on Fig. 3-1.3 should read: "Power Authority holds an easement in parcel from the Tuscarora Nation. NYSDOT manages . . ."

#### **Comments on New Section 9.0 of Recreation Plan:**

1. 2d sentence, 3d paragraph should read "This activity constitutes trespass under Nation law." It's not simply the Nation's "view" that trespass occurs.

Additional Tuscarora comments regarding the Lewiston Reservoir 1A Study which are unrelated to this filing have been redacted from this filing.

#### NIAGARA POWER PROJECT (FERC NO. 2216) LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN-RECORD OF CONSULTATION

#### **NYPA Response Comments Matrix**

Draft Land Management Plan Table of Contents:

- United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs
- United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service
- Buffalo Niagara Riverkeeper

#### Draft Land Management Plan:

- City Of Niagara Falls, New York
- United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service
- United States Department of the Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs
- Tuscarora Nation

#### Customary Use Plan

• Tuscarora Nation

Comment	Response
United States Department of the Interi	or Bureau of Indian Affairs
Paragraph 5.2.1 Road Maintenance. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding application of road salts and similar activities. The application of road salts has a significant environmental impact on lands receiving the run-off from roads to which salt has been applied. The Tuscarora Nation has several miles of territory along and across which roads maintained in conjunction with NPP operations run.	The Tuscarora Nation was included in the distribution of the draft Land Management Plan, providing them opportunity to comment on the specifics of maintenance and management activities.
Paragraph 5.5.5 Vegetative Management. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the selection of plants used for erosion control on the NPP dike. The Tuscarora Nation has several miles of lands that abut the NPP dike.	See response above.
Paragraph 5.2.3 Non-Native Species Control. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the selection of herbicides used for control of non-native invasive plants on the NPP dike. The Tuscarora Nation has several miles of lands that abut the NPP dike.	See response above.

Comment	Response
Paragraph 5.2.5. Future use of Project Lands. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the	See response above.
Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding the future use of all project lands that are contiguous with Tuscarora lands. If the Tuscarora	
Nation elects to place the lands they received as part of their settlement agreement into Federal trust, the BIA will also require consultation.	
Paragraph 5.2.6 Aesthetic Enhancements. Additional consultation should be included for development of this section. At a minimum, the Tuscarora Nation should be consulted regarding any enhancements that are visible from their lands.	See response above.
United States Department of the Interio	or Fish and Wildlife Service
The Fish and Wildlife Service has reviewed the May 24, 2007, Annotated Table of Contents for the Land Management Plan for the Niagara Power Project. The Table of Contents is adequate to cover the issues of concern. We appreciate the opportunity to review the document. If you have any questions or desire for any additional information, please contact Steve Patch at 607-753-9334.	Comment noted.

#### DRAFT LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN TABLE OF CONTENTS

Comment	Response
Buffalo Niagara Ri	verkeeper
Section 2.1 regarding the Goals and Objectives of the Plan should be expanded to specifically include the following  1. Accessibility  2. Recreational opportunities  3. Energy Conservation  4. Water Conservation  5. Habitat preservation or restoration	None of these goals/objectives were included in the scope of services for the development of the Land Management Plan that was agreed to by the agencies and stakeholders involved in the ALP relicensing of the Niagara Power Project. As such, the table of contents has not been revised to address these new subject areas.
<ul><li>6. Contamination remediation as required</li><li>7. Reconnection of Niagara Escarpment over fishing access road</li><li>8. Cultural resources (native people history, Portage, history of power/Schoellkopf)</li></ul>	The LMP adequately serves its objective to provide guidelines for the management of project lands within the project boundary during the new license term in a manner that is consistent with FERC policies, license requirements, project needs, protection of resources and public interest.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Comment	Response
Section 5.2.2. Vegetative Management should specifically reference "Best Management Practices" and the strategy should actively restore native plant species.	The LMP adequately serves its objective to provide guidelines for the management of project lands within the project boundary during the new license term in a manner that is consistent with FERC policies, license requirements, project needs, protection of resources and public interest. The Power Authority's vegetation management incorporates best management practices as exemplified by the Integrated Vegetation Management strategy. This strategy, modeled on the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) process, utilizes cultural (mechanical and manual methods that physically remove tree stems), biological (encouraging low growing plant species and herbivory), and chemical (herbicide) control.
	A study on the feasibility of restoring native plant species in the gorge has been executed; the resultant report is currently in draft. The Power Authority will be sharing the results of this report with members of the Ecological Steering Committee (ESC). The ESC may propose future measures with regard to potential non-native species mitigation based on the findings and recommendations of this study using funds provided by the Power Authority.

#### DRAFT LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN TABLE OF CONTENTS

Comment	Response
The plan should specifically address water management both in terms of water conservation as well as stormwater runoff/treatment.	Water management was not included in the scope of services for the development of the Land Management Plan that was agreed to by the agencies and stakeholders involved in the ALP relicensing of the Niagara Power Project. As such, the table of contents has not been revised to address these new subject areas.
	The LMP adequately serves its objective to provide guidelines for the management of project lands within the project boundary during the new license term in a manner that is consistent with FERC policies, license requirements, project needs, protection of resources and public interest.
This plan fails to specifically address the use and management of the Robert Moses Parkway. As the Parkway's current configuration has a substantial impact on Gorge ecological and recreational resources, this matter must be resolved.	Resolution of the issues regarding the ultimate use of the Robert Moses Parkway was beyond the scope of relicensing (and, by extension, are beyond the scope of the LMP).  Moreover, maintenance responsibility for the Parkway was transferred to the New York State Department of Transportation in 1975 under a State Budget Resolution and a memorandum of understanding between OPRHP and the NYSDOT.

Comment	Response
City of Niagara	Falls, New York
The City of Niagara Falls Office of Planning and Environmental Services has reviewed the New York Power Authority's Draft Land Management Plan, established in accordance with the Niagara Power Project Relicensing Agreement FERC No. 2216. The City's opinions and suggestions on the proposed policies and practices are contained herein and have been submitted by the deadline of March 3, 2008	Comment noted.
As a whole, the proposed Land Management Plan contains very little in terms of substantive changes from current practices, and states so within the plan itself.	This statement is accurate. It is the Power Authority's intention to proceed, in large part, with land management activities that are already in practice.
	The LMP adequately serves its objective to provide guidelines for the management of project lands within the project boundary during the new license term in a manner that is consistent with FERC policies, license requirements, project needs, protection of resources and public interest

Comment	Response
The Office of Environmental Services is disappointed that NYPA has not taken advantage of this opportunity to re-evaluate its current management activities and consider altering and updating the policies of the last several years.	The Power Authority has spent considerable attention to evaluating its land management practices and their potential effects on the environment. Land Management Practices, as they pertain to natural resources within the project boundary, are detailed in a report entitled "Effects of Land Management Practices on Aquatic and Terrestrial Habitats" (E/PRO 2004). This report is cited in the Land Management Plan (LMP).
	The Power Authority currently employs land management practices that are considered to be feasible from standpoints of public, utility, economic and environmental interests. The Power Authority plans to continue to use methods that have proven to be effective while also serving these interests. This LMP in no way restricts the Power Authority from changing or evolving its practices when and if better techniques arise.

Comment	Response
Though little has changed regarding the physical nature of project boundaries, the dynamic of the local environment, recreation and the role of these facets in the economy of surrounding municipalities, including the City of Niagara Falls has changed dramatically. As the industrial base for the local economy has deteriorated, local communities are attempting to capitalize on the area's natural resources and human history to facilitate a turn towards and economy based on heritage tourism. A number of land segments within the Project Boundary are crucial to the area's attempt to capitalize on its own natural resources, with environmental preservation and recreational opportunity playing vital roles. The draft plan says little addressing such issues on highly valued lands such as the Upper Niagara River waterfront and the Lower Niagara River Gorge, possibly two of the most important aspects to the City's economy.	The Power Authority recognizes the concerns voiced in this comment; however it is not the charge of the LMP or FERC to address these socioeconomic issues.  The Power Authority supports recreational and environmental interests in numerous ways. The Power Authority's management of recreation resources (and proposed enhancements) within the FERC project boundary are detailed in the Project's Recreation Plan. The Power Authority's commitment to the protection of historic properties within the project boundary is addressed in the Historic Properties Management Plan. In addition to these Plans, the Power Authority has provided millions of dollars to the local communities and resource protection agencies for the protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife habitat throughout the Niagara River corridor. Additionally, the City will benefit significantly through the Host Community Agreement and the establishment of the Host Community Fund and the Host Community Greenway Fund that can address the pursuit of
	economic development initiatives and the support heritage tourism.

Comment	Response
Of particular concern is the plan's decision not to make any	The Power Authority respectfully disagrees with the opinions
attempt to control non-native species on any lands within the	projected in this comment. Additionally, we feel the comment
boundary. Asserting that "infiltration of non-native vegetative	mischaracterizes the positions of the Power Authority with
species is inherent to human disturbance," the draft recognizes	statements such as the Power Authority "sees no need for actively
that significant amounts of exotic plant species do exist within the	controlling such species" or that "fighting invasive species [on
boundary, yet sees no need for actively controlling such species.	lands] that fall under the management plan is futile and thus
While this may not be of extreme importance on lands within the	unnecessary."
boundary currently used for industrial purposes or on highly	
developed lands surrounding the plant itself, the notion that	As conceded by the City of Niagara Falls in this comment,
fighting invasive species along waterways such as Gill Creek, the	significant amounts of exotic plant species do exist within the
Upper Niagara River, Fish Creek and of most importance, both	project boundary. A later comment concedes that infiltration is
segments of the Niagara Gorge that fall under the management	inevitable and extensive. Significant amounts of exotic species
plan is futile and thus unnecessary is fundamentally flawed and	are present throughout the Niagara region. This includes lands
environmentally unsound.	that surround the project and are not subject to the Power
	Authority's jurisdiction. It should be noted that the area of the
	project boundary is comparatively diminutive in the overall
	landscape of this region that has been heavily altered by centuries
	of anthropogenic forces. Any control measures isolated to the
	area of the project boundary, even if highly successful, would
	require a massive effort and would result in little to no net impact
	on the overall status of invasive species in the region.

Comment	Response
The threats posed to the ecological health of local environments, particularly those of aquatic nature, are well documented. The suggestion that a globally recognized environmental asset such as the Niagara Gorge should be left unattended by the agency that takes advantage of it for power generation and sale and is charged with its management is untenable. The city finds this approach or lack thereof neglectful and unacceptable. It is imperative we safeguard the natural integrity of the Niagara Gorge and preserve its status as a unique ecological site.	The current status of non-native plant species in the gorge is a result of decades (even centuries) of human influence, the vast majority of which is not related to the existence of the Niagara Power project. In addition, sources of non-native species infiltration surround the portion of the gorge over which the Power Authority has jurisdiction; the Power Authority has no control over non-native species on these surrounding lands. Furthermore, it has been well documented that the Erie Canal is a significant source of non-native species introduction, conveyed to the gorge by the river itself.
	The Power Authority has undertaken significant studies which catalog plant species in the gorge. Furthermore, a study on the feasibility of restoring native plant species in the gorge has been executed; the resultant report is currently in draft. The Power Authority will be sharing the results of this report with members of the Ecological Steering Committee (ESC). The ESC may propose future measures with regard to potential non-native species mitigation based on the findings and recommendations of this study using funds provided by the Power Authority.
The Office of Environmental Services (OES) suggests a thorough	The Power Authority has performed extensive habitat and cover
exploration into the current situation regarding invasive species	type surveys within the project boundary during pre-licensing
within project boundaries. This process would include population surveys, damage evaluation, and prescription of remedial actions	studies, including the report described above. These studies adequately identified, documented and described the presence of
leading to implementation of these actions.	invasive species within project boundaries.

Comment	Response
Regardless of the 'inevitability' of invasive species infiltration or the extent of that infiltration, simply ignoring the issue and not even investigating the conditions properly are irresponsible.	The Power Authority has not ignored the issue and, in fact, has spent considerable efforts performing proper investigations which catalog and define current conditions within the project boundary. Efforts include, but are not limited to, extensive habitat and ground cover surveys within the project boundary, numerous habitat and vegetation studies within the Niagara Gorge, and a feasibility study for re-introduction of native plant species in the Niagara Gorge.
More generally, OES advocates for a more comprehensive plan for the gorge lands in particular that emphasizes ecological preservation and restoration. Active management is implicit in such an effort where human perturbations of the environment are common. The unique nature of the gorge and its fragility demand such efforts.	See previous responses.
Also of concern to the OES is the lack of an up to date survey of the conditions, such as biological health and ecological role fulfillment, of Fish and Gill Creeks. Both waterways were enormously disturbed by the construction of the Lewiston Reservoir. It is the responsibility of NYPA to ensure that both waterways have been acceptably reconfigured in a manner that mitigates environmental repercussions in vital ecological processes such as fish and marine species spawning.	An extensive study was performed which assessed the ecological condition of these waterways during relicensing. The results of this study are available in a report entitled Ecological Condition of Gill, Fish and Cayuga Creeks (URS et al. 2004). You can find this study on the Niagara Relicensing website at: http://niagara.nypa.gov/.

Comment	Response
Avian habitat and nesting areas are also important to consider regarding impact of stream alteration. It is important to note that it is not only important to observe and maintain stable conditions concerning these streams from year to year, but to restore the ecological role of these bodies of water to their conditions before the construction of the Lewiston Reservoir if it is found through research that it has indeed been altered and inadequately remedied.	The concerns regarding stream alteration expressed in this comment are not relevant to the charge of this LMP.
The Niagara Falls OES would also suggest that if NYPA is unwilling to take a proactive role in managing crucial lands such as the Upper Niagara River bank and the Niagara Gorge, they should consider a cooperative management measure with other state agencies to reach an agreement similar to that governing the Reservoir State Park's management, and relinquish management duties to another agency such as the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation, and Historic Preservation. This would be an	The Power Authority has an existing Agreement with the New York Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPRHP) to use, maintain, control, manage, govern, and police Power Authority lands along the lower Niagara River in the area of the Discovery Center and the trails known as the Ongiara and Whirlpool trails located on the former Great Gorge Railroad right-of-way.
important first step toward the full and proper management of these lands in a responsible manner.	Lands within the project boundary on the Upper Niagara River are managed by the Power Authority and improvement to these lands will occur in 2009 under the Recreation Plan.

Comment	Response
Though the implementation of aesthetic improvements is	Aesthetic improvements discussed in the Land Management Plan
mentioned in the draft and discussed in another document, the	were direct recommendations from the report Visual Assessment
OES is taking the opportunity to recommend aesthetic	(The Saratoga Associates, 2005), which was conducted during the
improvements in areas away from the power plants and facilities	relicensing of the Project. The scope for this assessment was
themselves. Of the potential enhancements, the most visible and	determined in consultation with the ALP Stakeholders. No
that with the biggest impact may be planting of additional native	recommendations for the planting of additional native plants
plants, including trees, shrubs, flowering plants, etc. on property	along the Robert Moses Parkway were recommended.
along the Robert Moses Parkway, currently under joint	
management of NYPA and the NYS Department of	The Power Authority is providing communities in Niagara
Transportation to serve as a buffer to unsightly adjacent industrial	County \$3 million annually for the term of the new license for the
properties who are NYPA customers. A means of obstructing	development of the Niagara River Greenway. Perhaps these
residents' and tourists' view of the industrial corridor should be	funds might be used for this purpose.
thoroughly explored.	
OES would also suggest further enhancement of areas such as the	As outlined in the draft Recreation Plan for the Project, several
Water Intakes site to create a more welcoming, park-like	improvements are proposed for the Upper River Water Intakes,
atmosphere. Suggestions would include ornamental plantings	including new interpretive signs, repaving, and recurbing. The
there as well as interpretive signage and exhibits concerned with	intakes were considered in the Visual Assessment report and no
the relevance of the structures.	recommendations for additional ornamental plantings were made

Comment	Response
A final comment from the OES is that NYPA cooperate with the City of Niagara Falls in the formulation of a plan to better utilize lands that overlay the water conduits from the river to the Lewiston Reservoir. The city is exploring the feasibility of establishing a multiple-use recreational pathway from the river to the reservoir along this open greenspace. Cooperation among the City of Niagara Falls, NYPA as well as the Town of Niagara and the Town of Lewiston will be needed to establish such a path. This yet-to-be-proposed trail would augment current recreational opportunities for hikers, joggers, bicyclists, etc. through the local community and should be seriously considered.	The lands referenced are owned by various entities, including NYPA. In many cases, however, these lands are owned by other entities and are managed by NYPA for their facilities via easements and/or lease agreements. The LMP does not preclude considerations of uses such as the trail described; the City of Niagara Falls is free to initiate the suggested exploration into the feasibility of establishing such a trail. If the trail is deemed feasible, the City of Niagara Falls and cooperating entities are expected to seek permits and develop appropriate agreements with the Power Authority and other entities upon whose property the trail will occur. Such use on Power Authority owned lands would be subject to the permitting process outlined in the LMP.
The City of Niagara Falls OES is discouraged by the proposed continuation of status quo management of project lands. We feel NYPA has a responsibility to the local community to manage the lands under its supervision in the most environmentally responsible, proactive, and comprehensive approach possible. In short, this draft fails to show how NYPA will meet that standard We hope NYPA will reconsider its current plan and modify it in a manner that better serves the local people and protects the surrounding environment, helping the City of Niagara Falls as well as surrounding municipalities make its transition to a new economy based on recreation, environmental resources, and tourism in the coming years.	The Power Authority respectfully disagrees with the City's assumption that current practices are not environmentally responsible, proactive, and comprehensive. Furthermore, the LMP in no way restricts the Power Authority from adopting new practices when and if more environmentally responsible, proactive, and comprehensive alternatives evolve.  The LMP adequately serves its objective to provide guidelines for the management of project lands within the project boundary during the new license term in a manner that is consistent with FERC policies, license requirements, project needs, protection of resources and public interest.

Comment	Response
United States Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service	
The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) has reviewed the	Comment noted.
January 31, 2008, Draft Land Management Plan (DLMP)	
produced by the New York Power Authority in compliance with	
License Article 405 for the Niagara Power project. The DLMP	
adequately addresses License Article 405. The Service concurs	
with this plan. We appreciate the opportunity to review the	
DLMP.	
United States Department of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs	
Since the Historic Properties Management Plan and the	Comment noted.
Customary Use Plan for this Project have yet to be provided, the	
BIA cannot comment on whether the land management policies	
and activities are consistent with these plans.	
The Draft LMP does not address new recreational enhancements	Proposed recreational enhancements are outlined in the Project's
such as the Upper Mountain Parking/Fishing Access that are part	Recreation Plan.
of the Settlement Agreement and the License. In order to	
preclude future amendments to the LMP to incorporate such	
improvements, the BIA suggests that these enhancements be	
described and addressed in the current document.	

Comment	Response
The BIA notes that a strip of Tuscarora Nation restricted-fee lands along the eastern edge of the Lewiston Reservoir is included within the Project boundary. The usage of this land is for transportation and is managed by the New York State Department of Transportation. The BIA recommends that all land management operations conducted in and along Project lands that abut and on Tuscarora Nation Lands be coordinated with the appropriate Tuscarora Nation Representative prior to being implemented. At a minimum all chemical treatments, be they for vegetation management or road maintenance, should be subject to Tuscarora Nation Concurrence.	The Tuscarora Nation was included in the distribution of the draft Land Management Plan, providing them opportunity to comment on the specifics of land management and road maintenance activities.
On page 5-5, the LMP specifies the active ingredient glyphosate as the specific type of herbicides for use. While this is currently a good choice, the BIA suggests that the general mode of action be used in the LMP rather than a specific active ingredient, much like the LMP does on page 5-6 when referring to the Lewiston Reservoir dike and right-of-way transmission corridors. This will preclude the need to amend the LMP when, in the future, the New York Power Authority may wish to change to a different class of product.	The plan has been revised to remove all reference to glyphosate.
The BIA has no comments on the RP except to note that at the Robert Moses Fishing Pier, the LMP refers to 3 American Disabilities Act (ADA) parking spaces and the RP refers to 4 ADA parking spaces.	The correct number is 4; the Land Management Plan has been revised to reflect the correct number of ADA parking spaces.

Comment	Response
Tuscarora Nation	
Section 4.2.3 Sentence 4 should read: "One of the roads	The Power Authority does not believe this change is necessary.
NYSDOT manages within the Project boundary is a section of	The current language in the Land Management Plan clearly and
Garlow Rd., located on 9.9 acres of Tuscarora Nation land to the	accurately describes the owner ship interests of the Tuscarora and
East of the Lewiston Reservoir. The Power Authority holds an	the Power Authority in this 9.9 acre parcel.
easement interest in that 9.9 acre parcel for the purpose of	
constructing and maintaining the road	
Section 4.2.3 2.Note on Fig. 3-1.3 should read: "Power Authority	See response above.
holds an easement in parcel from the Tuscarora Nation. NYSDOT	
manages	

Comment	Response	
Tuscarora Nation		
Section 1.0, 2nd paragraph, first sentence. Change "members" to "citizens."	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment	
Section 1.0, 2nd sentence of second paragraph should begin with "Because" rather than "As" because (not as) it is more precise.	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment	
Section 2.0, Change 1st sentence to "The Tuscarora Nation and the United States of America have had a treaty based relationship for over three centuries old."	The first sentence of the last paragraph of Section 2.0 of the Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment	
Section 4.0, 3rd sentence, 1st paragraph should read "Prior authorization shall be obtained two (2) weeks"	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment	
Section 4.0, 1st sentence, 2nd paragraph should read: "Prior authorization must be obtained from, and pre-notification provided to the following Power Authority Offices. The "please contact" language in the original makes it sound like this document is addressed to the Nation, which it is not.	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment	
Section 4.0 addresses who at the Power Authority is authorized to receive notification and provide authorization for certain CUP activities but not who the Power Authority is authorized to receive notice or entertain requests for authorization from. There should be language inserted identifying who is authorized to give notice and/or request authorization.	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to clarify that any citizen of the Tuscarora Nation may seek prior authorization or provide pre-notification, as required under the CUP	
Section 5.0 should read "All Activities To Conform With Applicable Federal and State Law." "Applicable" is used in similar contexts in other relicensing documents to make clear that Indian Nations are not agreeing to any restrictions to which its members are not otherwise subject and should likewise be inserted here. "Requirements" is way too vague a term. "Law" should be substituted. These changes also need to be made elsewhere in the document, including the Table of Contents.	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment	

CUSTOWART USE I LAIN	
Comment	Response
Section 5.0 currently references "Local" law. We need clarification on the extent to which "Local" (as opposed to State or Federal) law applies to Lands (or interests in land) within the Project Boundary. CUP activities should not be subject to local restrictions that do not apply to Project Lands and Project Operations in general.	The Power Authority agrees that, as a general matter, local laws are inapplicable to Project lands. Because of the CUP, by design, did not identify or define any specific customary use that citizens of the Tuscarora nation may pursue on Project lands, however, it is impossible at this time to determine whether a local law could apply to a specific customary use. Suck determination will need to occur on a case-by-case basis. Moreover, the Power Authority notes that Section 7.2.1 of the Relicensing Settlement Agreement Between the Power Authority of the State of New York and the Tuscarora Nation specifically provides that '{t}he CUP shall not supersede applicable New York State requirements pertaining to hunting and fishing licenses or applicable local ordinances, regulations, or other requirements regarding possession and use of firearms." For these reasons, the CUP intentionally uses the qualifying term "applicable" in every reference to local law.
Section 5.0 A., 1st sentence, 1st paragraph: The phrase "prohibited on lands within the FERC Project boundary" is still misleading (or at least	The CUP has been revised to clarify the point that it applies only to Project lands that are not owned in fee by the
confusing) in that it could be read as referring to the easement land (since the easement itself is "within the FERC Project Boundary). It should be changed to something like "prohibited on NYPA-owned fee lands within the FERC Project boundary")	Tuscarora Nation.
Section 5.0, 3d & 4th sentence: same comment as in #7, reference to "local" law.	See Comment above.
Section 5.0, 4th sentence. Phrase "at the Project" has the same problems as described in #9, above.	See Comment above.

Comment	Response
There appears to be a numbering error on p. 4 (after Section 5.0) in that the next section is also labeled 5.0. Section 6.0 should be Section 7.0	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this comment
(same in Table of Contents)	Comment
Title to what should be Section 6.0 should read "ALL ACTIVITIES TO	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this
CONFORM WITH APPLICABLE FEDERAL AND STATE LAW" (see comment #7, above)	comment
Last bullet in what should be Section 6.0 should read "Any applicable	The Customary Use Plan has been revised to incorporate this
State requirements"	comment